



### Chinese Opinion Mining in JRLLT: Exploration of Fine-Grained OM Approaches

Tianfang Yao Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering Shanghai Jiao Tong University Shanghai, China



### Outline

- Brief Introduction to JRLLT
- Text Annotation
- Preprocessing and Classification for Subjective Texts
- Recognition of Topics
- Analysis of Sentiment of Words and Sentences
- Identification of Topic-Sentiment Relations
- Conclusion



### UdS-SJTU Joint Research Lab for Language Technology (JRLLT)



The Department of Computational Linguistics and Phonetics

The Department of Computer Science and Engineering



#### • It was established on March 10, 2005.



#### Ceremony of UdS–SJTU Jo Lab for Language Technolo





It is located in the Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering.





## ③ 上海 Brief Introduction to JRLLT

### • Organization and Staffing

- Heads of the Lab
  - Prof. Huanye Sheng
  - Prof. Dr. Hans Uszkoreit
- > Principal Researchers
  - Associate Prof. Dr. Fang Li
  - Dr. Feiyu Xu
  - Associate Prof. Dr. Tianfang Yao
- > Other Members
  - PhD and Master Candidates

## ③上海Brief Introduction to JRLLT

### • Mission of the Lab

- provide a platform for defining and carrying out projects supported by public sources as well as private enterprises in China, Germany and elsewhere.
- > shorten the path of new technologies developed by UdS and SJTU from the lab into deployed systems and products suitable for the Chinese and international markets.

## ③上海Brief Introduction to JRLLT

- Research Directions of the Lab
  - > Language Technology Research
  - > Web Mining Applications
  - > Chinese Information Processing
  - Information Services

# ③上海Brief Introduction to JRLLT

#### • Granted Projects

- » NSFC (National Natural Science Foundation of China) Projects
  - Research on Fine-grained Opinion Mining for Chinese Texts (2008-2010)
  - Threads and Topics Detection for News Events (2009–2011)
- > Enterprise Projects
  - Research and Application of Data Mining
     Technology under Big Data Environment (2014-2015)

## ③ 上海 Brief Introduction to JRLLT

- WISCOM Data Service Platform (2012-2013)
- Research on the Application of Time-space based
   Chinese Information Retrieval Model (2011–2012)
- Research on the Application of Cultural Entity and Relationship for National Geographical Names (2010–2011)
- Teenage Interests Analysis Based on Web Access
   Log (2007–2008)
- An Intelligent Platform for Information Retrieval (2004–2006)

# ③ 上海 Brief Introduction to JRLLT

- NSFC Project: Research on Fine-grained Opinion Mining for Chinese Texts (Grant No.: 60773087)
  - Subjectivity text is very different from objective text.
  - No existing annotation corpus is available.
  - Most of text opinion mining approaches are coarse, especially for Chinese texts.
  - There are still problems to be resolved, such as implicit topic.
  - Accuracy and robustness of opinion mining approaches are not ideal.



• Subjective Text Types (Liu, Yao et al., 2008)

According to the standardization, domain and amount of text available, we can category subjective texts.

Standardization	Text Form
Degree	
Standard	novel, essay, poetry, review, readers'letter, news note, interview report,
	scientific paper, regular book review / film review, poem comment
Fairly Standard	diary, composition, mail, correspondence
Non - Standard	blog, forum, BBS, reader review / film review, personal home page, chat
	log, post bar



Category		Text Form
	single	product forum, reader review, scientific paper
Domain	domain	
Domain	multiple	synthetical forum, BBS, novel, essay, poetry, diary,
	domain	composition, newspaper review, readers'letter, interview, blog
	more	forum, BBS, reader review / film review, blog, personal page /
		space, chat log, post bar
Amount of Text		diary, composition, newspaper review, readers'letter,
Available	general	interview, news chronicle, scientific paper, regular book
		review / film review, mail
	less	novel, essay, poem, poem comment, letter



- Texts collected should contain a higher proportion of opinion sentences
- Text size should be large enough for experiment
- Text content difficulty should be controlled within the usability of current mining technology
- Text domain should be involved in a wide range
- Subjective Sentence Types (Huang, Yao et al., 2008)

	Торіс	Sentiment
Sentence	single	single
Structure	single	multiple
(Opinion	multiple	single
Elements)	multiple	multiple



	Торіс	Sentiment
Sentence	explicit	explicit
Structure	explicit	implicit
(Opinion	implicit	explicit
Elements)	implicit	implicit

- Annotation Tags
  - > Word Segmentation (Deparser)
  - > POS Tags (National Standard GB/T 20532-2006)
  - Dependency Types (Deparser)
  - > Opinion Elements (Self-Defined)



# 上海交通大学 SHANGHAI JIAO TONG UNIVERSITY Text Annotation

Level	Tags	Attribute	Description
Document	text	no./textdom/txttype	Text number/domain/type
Document	paragraph	pnum/ptype	Paragraph number/type
Sentence	sentence	stnnum	Sentence number
Sentence	claim	cnum/ctype	Claim number/type
Sub- sentence	holder	hnum/htype	Holder number/type
Sub- sentence	topic	tnum/ttype	Topic number/type
Sub- sentence	sentiment	stnum/stmtype/stmpolt/stmstr n	Sentiment number/type/polarity/strength
Sub- sentence	relation	rtype/tnum/hnum/stmnum/ deprel/deppair	Relation type/number/ dependence relation/dependence pair
Word	stmword	wnum/wpos/wpolt/wstrn/ wfunc/wsem	Sentiment word number/POS/polarity/ strength/function/semantic
Word	gword	wnum/wpos/wfunc/wsem	General word number/POS/function/semantic





- An Example for Annotated Sentence
  - ▶ For example: 我不喜欢这车。价格太贵。
    - I do not like this car. The price is too high.
      - topic
      - sentiment



```
1 - <claim cnum="1" ctype="1t+2s">
2 FI
      <sentence stnnum="1">
3 -
        <holder hnum="1" htype="coref">
4 🗖
          <gword wnum="1" wpos="r" wfunc="null" wsem="FirstPerson">
            我(I)
5
          </gword>
6
          <relation type="h-t" hnum="1" tnum="1" deprel="null" deppair="null">
7
8
          </relation>
9
        </holder>
10 🗖
        <sentiment stmnum="1" stmtype="privat" stmpolt="negative" stmstrn="-1">
11 -
          <gword wnum="2-3" wpos="d" wfunc="privat" wsem="neg">
            不(do not)
12
          </gword>
13
14 -
          <stmword wnum="3" wpos="v" wpolt="positive" wstrn="+2" wfunc="core" wsem="FondOf">
            喜欢(like)
15
16
          </stmword>
17
        </sentiment>
18 -
        <other>
          <gword wnum="4" wpos="r" wfunc="null" wsem="aValue|kind|special">这(this)</gword>
19
20
        </other>
        <topic tnum="1" ttype="substance">
21 🗖
22 -
          <gword wnum="5" wpos="n" wfunc="core" wsem="LandVehicle">
            筆(car)
23
          </gword>
24
          <relation type="t-s" tnum="1" stmnum="1" deprel="VOB" deppair="s:t">
25
          </relation>
26
27
        </topic>
28 -
        <other>
          <punctuation pnum="6" pos="wp">. </punctuation>
29
30
        </other>
      </sentence>
31
```



```
32 -
      <sentence stnnum="2">
33 -
        <topic tnum="1.1" ttype="property">
34 -
          <gword wnum="1" wpos="n" wfunc="core" wsem="attribute|price|artifact|commercial">
            价钱(The price)
35
          </gword>
36
          <relation type="t-s" tnum="1.1" stmnum="2" deprel="SBV" deppair="s:t">
37
          </relation>
38
        </topic>
39
40 -
        <sentiment stmnum="2" stmtype="inten" stmpolt="negative" stmstrn="-4">
41 -
          <gword wnum="2-3" wpos="d" wfunc="inten" wsem="aValue|degree|very">
            太(too)
42
          </gword>
43
44 -
          <stmword wnum="3" wpos="a" wpolt="negative" wstrn="-2" wfunc="core" wsem="aValue|price|expensive|undesired">
            贵(high)
45
          </stmword>
46
        </sentiment>
47
48 -
        <other>
          <punctuation pnum="4" pos="wp">。 </punctuation>
49
        </other>
50
      </sentence>
51
    </claim>
52
```



- Annotation Tool (Wu, 2008)
  - > Improve the efficiency of annotation
  - > Improve the flexibility of annotation
  - > Beneficial to the extension of tag set
  - > Automatically check the consistency of annotation
  - Improve the quality of annotation

> Steps





県 意见型	元素标注器				
文件(E)	标注( <u>M</u> )				
: 重新分词	同 合并词汇   自	1动标注   上一步	, ◆ 上一争 ◆	查询知网	-
Holder	Other	Other O	ther	Other	属性
我	觉得╵	车	外表	倒是	尖別 sentiment 情感 ▼
	2414		112	1-1/4-	类型 inten 强调 ▼
Sentiment	Other	Other	Other	Other	
न ए	v	伯是。	占 小 <sup>v</sup>	₹, <sup>n</sup>	极性 negative 贬义 ▼
	1 7		5.5.7X		强度 2
Sentiment		Other Othe	er —		1 · · · · · ·
L d	di la constante da la constant	u			🔲 句子的结束
	浴戶-1	J •			关系
					添加 删除
					依存关系
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·







### Annotation Corpus (Song, Liu et al., 2008)

- > Domain: Automobile Forum Texts
- > Size: About 800 Sentences
- > Corpus Management System
- Statistics (General Information, Subjective Information)
- > Retrieval (Keyword, Context)

#### > Others



🔡 语料库管理系	統一	Corpu	s Tanager l	P1a	tforn,	e -	<b>v</b> 0.1.0		
文件 工具 帮助									
	a) i pus'i	搜索 ndexDi	r						
文档数:11 词条数:1116									
字段:		频率:							
<punctuation></punctuation>	-	编号	出现的总次数	-	文档数		字段	文本	
<relation></relation>		1		16		5	≺stmword≻	贵	-
<stmnum></stmnum>		2	2	13		4	≺stmword≻	高	
<stmpolt></stmpolt>	1	3	3	10		4	≺stmword≻	好	=
<stmstrn></stmstrn>		4	1	10		2	≺stmword≻	不错	
<stmtype></stmtype>		6	5	7		2	≺stmword≻	小	
≺stmword>		E	5	5		2	≺stmword≻	喜欢	
≺stnnum>		7	,	3		3	≺stmword≻	好看	
<tnum></tnum>		Έ	}	3		2	≺stmword≻	大	
<ttype></ttype>		9	9	3		2	≺stmword≻	低	
<txtdom></txtdom>		10	)	3		2	≺stmword≻	差	
<txttype></txttype>		11		3		2	≺stmword≻	可以	
<type></type>		12	2	2		1	≺stmword≻	多	
≺url≻		13	3	2		2	≺stmword≻	爱	
≺wfunc≻		14	l .	2		1	≺stmword≻	不便	
<wnum></wnum>	-	15	5	2	1	1	≺stmword≻	个性	-
语料库路径: C:\co	rpus	index	Dir						

- Network Informal Language (NIL)
  - The subjective text is an important processed object of opinion mining, but sometimes there are many informal expressions in a subjective text.
  - The authors of the subjective texts have the personal expression habits which are not restricted to a formal grammar, so Network Informal Language (NIL) emerges.

### NIL Words and Expression Forms (Zhang and Yao, 2008; Yao and Zhang, 2009)

Words Formation	Number	Example		
Abbreviation of English or	127	"GF" = 女朋友(Girl		
Chinese Pinyin		Friend)		
Homophonic of Chinese	36	"斑竹"(bamboo) = "版		
Words		主"(web moderator)		
Transliteration and Foreign	38	"粉丝" (noddles) = "歌		
expression		迷" (fans)		
Partial Tone in Chinese	148	"稀饭"(porridge) = "喜		
		欢" (like)		
Numbers	39	" <b>94</b> " = "就是"(precisely)		
Mixture of the above	54	"3q" = "谢谢"(thank		
Forms		you)		

Note: The statistics for the above words formation comes from 4,315 web pages.

#### Categories of NIL

- > Typical Informal Chinese Word
  - Those that contain letters, numbers and mixed abbreviated forms represent the words of standard language.
- > Fuzzy Informal Chinese Word
  - Those are standard form of vocabulary literally, but in fact they express the meaning of nonstandard words.

Experiments for Recognition of NIL

- > Recognition for Typical Informal Chinese Words
  - Rule based Sequential Covering Algorithm (that is, it is a rule based classification algorithm)

Data Set	Rec.	Prec.	F-Mea.
Typical NIL Data	0.682	0.871	0.765
Set	0.062	0.871	0.705

Note: Training Set: 5791 Sentences; Test Set: 3722 Sentences.

- Recognition for Fuzzy Informal Chinese Words
  - NB based Classification Model

#### SVM based Classification Model

Feature Combination	Naive Bayes (NB)			Suppo	rt Vector M (SVM)	achine
	Rec.	Prec.	F-Mea.	Rec.	Prec.	F-Mea.
F <sub>a</sub> +F <sub>b</sub>	0.531	0.662	0.589	0.476	0.711	0.570
F <sub>a</sub> +F <sub>c</sub>	0.708	0.677	0.692	0.635	0.783	0.701
F <sub>a</sub> +F <sub>d</sub>	0.675	0.813	0.738	0.832	0.806	0.819
F <sub>a</sub> +F <sub>c</sub> +F <sub>e</sub>	0.734	0.810	0.770	0.794	0.765	0.779
F <sub>a</sub> +F <sub>c</sub> +F <sub>d</sub>	0.847	0.926	0.885	0.887	0.821	0.853

Note: Ten-fold Cross Validation - 9513 Sentences.

a. The typical NIL words; b. The words which express opinions, suggestions or contain sentiment; c. The first and second person; d. Irregular punctuations; e. The punctuations with sentiment.

- Subjective Text
  - > It gives non-factual description.
  - It contains the opinions, emotions and attitudes from individual, group, or organization.
- Classification Approaches (Liu, Liu et al., 2008)
  - > Adopt rules, SVM and NB model to classify texts respectively
  - Synthesize results according to the weight of texts
     (The more feature is, the higher weight is)

The feature used for rule-based and SVM
 classification model (The NB classification model
 uses unigram feature (That is, high frequency words
 and sentiment words))



s established on March 10, 2005.

Feature	Specification							
personal pronoun	the first, second and third personal							
	pronoun							
suggestion word	such as suggestion verb							
NIL word and phrase	they come from Web							
special punctuation	such as exclamatory mark, question mark							
non-accurate digit	accurate digit is used for the feature of							
	objective text							
sentiment word	positive and negative words from HowNet							
interjection	include interjection and modal particle							
symbol string	this feature is used for human's free							
	writing							

۲

### COAE 2008 (Chinese Opinion Analysis Evaluation)

- Classify 39,976 texts into subjective and objective texts, then select 4,000 subjective texts with maximum confidence.
- > Our method: adopt rule-based classification method to assign weight score (according to the number of feature) to subjective texts; use SVM classification model to obtain 18,862 subjective texts and 21,114

- objective texts; use NB classification model to get 15,734 subjective texts and 24,242 objective texts. From the above three methods' result, we take 4,000 texts respectively, remove redundant texts, and remain 6,219 texts. Then use rule-based method to filter final 4,000 texts with higher weight.
- Fest and Result:
  - SJTUCSTask4Run1: The method has been mentioned above.

 SJTUCSTask4Run2: Only use rule-based classification method to select former 4000 texts.

SJTUCSTask4Run3: Similar to the the method of

SJTUCSTas long text (be score than s	Acc1000 m former 500, are selected evaluation r	but add Acc10 an ean that th 10 and 10 d for human espectively	d the pu d e 00 texts n /	accuracy_by_lexicon means that 4000 texts are automatically evaluated by machine according to the lexicon
Evaluation	Raccuracy	Acc10	Acc1000	accuracy_by_lexicon
SJTUCSTask4Run1	0.363	0.9	0.698	0.8405
SJTUCSTask4Run2	0.2838	1	0.541	0.82875
SJTUCSTask4Run3	0.3596	0.9	0.697	0.83025
Median	0.2488	0.4	0.387	0.84

0.698

0.95075

0.363

Best



Our three results (Totally, 13 organizations including universities, institutes and companies participated in the evaluation)


- Recognition in Specific Domain (Automobile) (Yin and Yao, 2008)
  - > Utilize annotated corpus, we do lexical analysis and syntactic dependency analysis.
  - In addition, we use the relations between sentiment words and topics as well as ontology to recognize non-identified potential topics.
  - > The experimental result is shown as follows:



	Sentence Size	Number of Topics	Recall	Precision
Syntactical Analysis based Approach	450	1080	0.792	0.74
Sentiment Word based Improved Approach	450	1080	0.852	0.802



- Recognition in Multiple Domains (Car, Laptop, Mobile Phone, Digital Camera)
  - Adopt SVM classification model and POS & WSD (Word Semantic Disambiguity) features.
  - Utilize COAE 2008 training data, we use five-fold cross validation method to train data for SVM model.
  - For WSD features, we select different feature combination, that is, WSD1, WSD2, WSD3 are the feature combinations from coarse-grain to fine-grain



features.

> The experimental result is shown as follows:

## 上海交通大 Recognition of Topics

Domains	Features	Precision	Recall	F-measure	Improvements
	PoS(Baseline1)	0.0958	0.8414	0.1716	( <b>=</b> )
	Baseline1+WSD1	0.1185	0.8660	0.2080	21.21%
	Baseline1+WSD2	0.1489	0.8592	0.2531	47.49%
Care	Baseline1+WSD3	0.1840	0.8518	0.3017	75.81%
Cars	PoS+Word(Baseline2)	0.2223	0.6908	0.3336	-
	Baseline2+WSD1	0.2325	0.7584	0.3536	5.99%
	Baseline2+WSD2	0.2364	0.7947	0.3622	8.59%
	Baseline2+WSD3	0.2576	0.7811	0.3852	15.46%
	PoS(Baseline1)	0.1502	0.8680	0.2559	-
	Baseline1+WSD1	0.1882	0.8599	0.3084	20.49%
	Baseline1+WSD2	0.2096	0.8537	0.3360	31.29%
Laptop	Baseline1+WSD3	0.2454	0.8409	0.3789	48.06%
Computers	PoS+Word(Baseline2)	0.2834	0.7364	0.4086	-
	Baseline2+WSD1	0.3007	0.7773	0.4328	5.92%
	Baseline2+WSD2	0.3093	0.8070	0.4465	9.27%
	Baseline2+WSD3	0.3374	0.7956	0.4728	15.72%
	PoS(Baseline1)	0.1781	0.8726	0.2954	-
	Baseline1+WSD1	0.2101	0.8709	0.3379	14.38%
	Baseline1+WSD2	0.2290	0.8775	0.3625	22.70%
Mobile	Baseline1+WSD3	0.2640	0.8666	0.4040	36.74%
Phones	PoS+Word(Baseline2)	0.2894	0.7913	0.4224	141
	Baseline2+WSD1	0.3009	0.8230	0.4392	3.98%
	Baseline2+WSD2	0.3012	0.8395	0.4420	4.63%
	Baseline2+WSD3	0.3225	0.8277	0.4629	9.58%
	PoS(Baseline1)	0.1668	0.8521	0.2784	
	Baseline1+WSD1	0.1997	0.8633	0.3235	16.22%
	Baseline1+WSD2	0.2197	0.8753	0.3505	25.90%
Digital	Baseline1+WSD3	0.2591	0.8735	0.3987	43.20%
Cameras	PoS+Word(Baseline2)	0.2882	0.7773	0.4187	-
	Baseline2+WSD1	0.3028	0.8213	0.4408	5.28%
	Baseline2+WSD2	0.3075	0.8422	0.4488	7.20%
	Baseline2+WSD3	0.3277	0.8319	0.4686	11.92%

- Sentiment Word and Polarity Identification (Liu, Liu et al., 2008)
  - > Identification Approach
    - With the help of DeParser, build parsing trees;
    - For verbs, adjectives, adverbs, nouns, Idioms:
      - Check whether there is the dependence relation between constituents related to the above word classes (POS)

- Find topics which corresponds to sentiment words
- If there exists the above sentiment word in sentiment lexicon, according to the type of sentiment words, decide its polarity
- If there is unknown word, record it for artificial judging later
- COAE 2008: Test and Result

Task 1: Identification of Chinese Sentiment Words



Task 2: Analysis of Polarity of Chinese Sentiment Words



- Sentiment Sentence Analysis (Yao and Li, 2009)
  - > Use SVM, ME and CRF classification models to classify the sentiment of sentences.
  - Internal features: lexical features and POS features
  - External features: dependence features and context features
  - Feature template = Internal features + External features

> The experimental results for automobile reviews,

mobile phone reviews and mixed reviews:

		SVM	ME	CRF
	Precision	0.719	0.712	0.735
Mixed Reviews	Recall	0.74	0.738	0.789
	F-measure	0.729	0.725	0.761
Automobile	Precision	0.807	0.821	0.887
Automobile	Recall	0.816	0.832	0.868
Reviews	F-measure	0.811	0.826	0.877
Mahila Dhana	Precision	0.699	0.714	0.723
Noblie Phone Deviews	Recall	0.723	0.739	0.77
Keviews	F-measure	0.711	0.726	0.745

 Obviously, the performance for sentiment sentence classification using CRF classification model is better than that using other two classification models.

- Direct Relation (DR) / Indirect Relation (IR)
  - > DR definition:
    - The 1st DR: A topic and the corresponding sentiment exist in a same sentence (or clause), and the distance between them is short.
    - The 2nd DR: A topic and the corresponding sentiment exist in contiguous clauses (i.e., not in same clause). The word between the clauses is conjunction (i.e., coordinative clauses)

#### > IR definition:

- A topic and the corresponding sentiment exist in different clauses, and there is no direct modified relation (i.e., it is possible that the relation between them is found through semantic analysis or derivation)
- According to our statistics on the annotation corpus for above DR and IR relations, they have the proportion of 96.3%.

- DR Identification Approach (Chen, Liu, and Yao, 2009)
  - During the topic extraction, we choose the tf/idf algorithm according to the effects.
  - For the sentiment extraction, we use the sentimentword dictionary to find the sentiment words.
  - For the relation extraction, we extracted the pairs of topics and sentiments as the candidate set, and then employed the closest distance matching model

- (CDMM) and SVM model to do that.
- CDMM model:
  - Depending on the closest distance matching criterion, find the corresponding topic (words or phrase) with the shortest distance for each sentiment word.
  - For the sentiment word whose topic is not found, it is matched by long-distance mode across punctuations until backtrack to the last

topic. We suppose they are matched.

- If we do not find the corresponding sentiment word for any topic, give it up.
- SVM model:
  - Lexical features: topic word; sentiment word; the left word of topic and sentiment word; the right word of topic and sentiment word.
  - POS features: the left word of topic and sentiment word; the right word of topic and

sentiment word.

- Semantic features: topic words, such as, product name, product attribute etc.
- Position features: the position of topic words and sentiment words; the number of words between topic words and sentiment words; the number of other topic words between topic words and sentiment words; the number of other sentiment words between topic

words and sentiment words

- > DR Experiment:
  - Corpus: 220 texts, each text contains 6-10
     sentences, totally, 1500 sentences. They come
     from laptop, mobile phone, automobile and digital
     camera domains (each domain contains 55 texts).
     Among them, 200 texts are used for training
     corpus; 20 texts are used for test corpus.
  - In training corpus, we annotated 1200 positive

relations and 1600 negative relations.

 The first experiment (Comparison for the different) scales of annotated positive / negative relations in training sets): model1 - positive relations: 800; negative relations: 1600. model2 - positive relations: 1200; negative relations: 1600. The result shows that the performance from the model2 is better than one of the model1. It is important to balance the proportion for positive

#### and negative training relations.

Text	Model1	Model1	Model1	Model2	Model2	Model2
	Precision	Recall	F-measure	Precision	Recall	F-measure
digital camera 1	0.7778	0.3043	0.4375	0.8	0.5217	0.6316
digital camera 2	1	0.3333	0.5000	1	0.5556	0.7143
digital camera 3	1	0.5	0.6667	1	0.5	0.6667
digital camera 4	0.6667	0.4444	0.5333	0.6923	0.5	0.5806
digital camera 5	0.4286	0.25	0.3158	0.5	0.4167	0.4546

The second experiment (Comparison for the performance of relation identification for different domains): use SVM and model2.

Text	Precision	Recall	F-measure
digital camera 1	0.8	0.5217	0.6316
digital camera 2	1	0.5556	0.7143
digital camera 3	1	0.5	0.6667
digital camera 4	0.6923	0.5	0.5806
digital camera 5	0.5	0.4167	0.4546
automobile 1	1	0.4	0.5714
automobile 2	0.8333	0.7143	0.7692
automobile 3	0.8889	0.8	0.8421
automobile 4	1	0.4	0.5714
automobile 5	0.8571	0.6667	0.7500
laptop 1	1	0.75	0.8571
laptop 2	1	0.5	0.6667
laptop 3	1	0.5	0.6667
laptop 4	0.7778	0.6364	0.7000
laptop 5	1	0.6667	0.8000
mobile phone 1	0.5	0.3333	0.4000
mobile phone 2	0.75	0.5	0.6000
mobile phone 3	1	0.5	0.6667
mobile phone 4	1	0.5	0.6667
mobile phone 5	0.75	0.5	0.6000

- The result shows that the performance for relation identification from different domain is different. Among them, the performance for relation identification from automobile and laptop is better than other two domains. The possible reason is the number of technical terms is different.
- The third experiment (Comparison for the different classification model): compare the

CDMM model and SVM model in digital camera domain. The result shows that the performance of SVM model is obviously superior to one of CDMM model.

Text	CDMM	CDMM	CDMM	SVM	SVM	SVM
	Precision	Recall	F-measure	Precision	Recall	F-measure
digital camera 1	0.4063	0.5652	0.4728	0.8	0.5217	0.6316
digital camera 2	0.5455	0.6667	0.6000	1	0.5556	0.7143
digital camera 3	0.2222	0.3333	0.2666	1	0.5	0.6667
digital camera 4	0.25	0.3889	0.3044	0.6923	0.5	0.5806
digital camera 5	0.3182	0.5833	0.4118	0.5	0.4167	0.4546

- IR Identification (Chen and Yao, 2010)
  - An example: 这部车价格有些贵,发动机很酷,总体 来说不错,挺漂亮的。(The car has a high price, a cool engine, a good entirety and looks beautiful.)
  - In this example, for the sentiment word beautiful, there is no explicit topic. We say there is an implicit topic for the sentiment word beautiful. How to find the implicit topic?

## **Continuation of Topic-Sentiment** Relations



> We utilize an ontology to find a <Concept, Sub-Concept> relation. In this example, the concept is car, so one of the sub-concepts is 外形/外观/外 表...(apperance). Because it satisfies the collocation between the word **beautiful** and the word **apperance**, so apperance is one of the implicit topics for beautiful. Thus, we can transform a indirect relation between topic and sentiment into direct relation.  $\succ$  The algorithm is described as follows:

Input: sentence S, ontology dictionary O, collocation database C Output: opinion-element relation set R Step1: R1 = DependencyParsing(S); R.append(R1)Step2: R2 = CheckParallel(S); R.append(R2) Step3: SentimentRemain = IsRemaining(S, R1, R2) If Empty (SentimentRemain): return R Step4: Foreach sentiment s in SentimentRemain: CandidateImplicitTopic = Lookup(s, C) Empty (CandidateImplicitTopic): continue If Else: Foreach topic t in CandidateImplictTopic: Upperleveltopic = NULL flag = IsPathExistsInOntology(t, upperleveltopic, O)If flag is true: R.Add(<upperlevel, s>) C.AddPotential(<upperlevel, s >

#### Step5: return R

#### > IR Experiment

 Corpus: we labeled 1000 sentences on the car domains, all the sentences are from Internet product reviews, among which there are 1447 direct relations and 232 indirect relations. In order to prove the generality of our approach, we also make use the COAE2008 Task3's corpus which includes four domains. After crossing checked and verified, about 6994 correct and

۲

- disambiguous opinion-element relations. In addition, we choose Google and Baidu as main search engines to collect 7600 collocation pairs.
- We define baseline1 and baseline2 by using closest-pair and dependency parsing respectively, we also add the Maximum Entropy experiment by J. Zhang for comparison.

Method	Precision	Recall	F-measure
Baseline1(Closest -pair)	0.516	0.7385	0.6072
Baseline2(Parsing)	0.7253	0.8599	0.7863
Baseline3(ME)	0.811	0.7534	0.7809
Our method	0.7392	0.9337	0.8247

Text Domain	Precision	Recall	F-measure
Automobile	0.722	0.8875	0.796
Laptop	0.7024	0.8232	0.7576
Digital Camera	0.7387	0.834	0.783
Mobile Phone	0.7231	0.8522	0.7823
Average	0.7212	0.849	0.7795

🔣 🚍 🔄 💌 pppppp	pppppppppn 🛃 cn-edu-sjtu-ltlab-demoSy ၌ mp – Dolphin 🛛 🕞 ava - DemoSystem/src/ 🤮仇伟	🔹 👔 🚔 斗 🚰 🌉 🔀 🗶 🜒 😴 🗢 )2:14 prr 🛜
<b>\$</b> •	the second	<ul> <li>• • •</li> </ul>
操作面板	Step One	
Change Tag:	这 □ 部   车   外 □ 形   漂 □ 亮   ,   座 □ 位   舒 □ 适   ,   价 □ 格   便 □ 宜   。	
<-Back		
Next->		
Last Step	Step Two	
	AD NN NN VA PU NN VA PU NN VA PU 这部 车 外形 漂亮 , 座位 舒适 , 价格 便宜 。	
VIII Jak Marcia		
训性信息	Ston Three	
	AD NN NN VA PU NN VA PU NN VA PU 这部 车 外形 漂亮 , 座位 舒适 , 价格 便宜 。	
	Step Four	
	AD NN NN VA PU NN VA PU NN VA PU S3适 . 价格 便宜 。	





- Opinion Mining for Chinese subjective texts is a novel and challenging research direction.
- The concept of Chinese opinioned subjective texts is defined and their text category architecture and sentence type are illustrated.
- A fine-grained annotation approach is proposed and a corresponding corpus for the research is developed.
- Automatic classification and Network Informal Language in the preprocessing are investigated





- using an approach combining statistical, machine learning and rule extraction.
- A topic identification approach for Chinese opinioned sentences is proposed, which can be used for domain-specific and domainindependent corpus.
- The analysis of the sentiments for Chinese opinioned sentences is studied using the information of sentiment words and statistical probability model.





- The identification of direct and indirect relations between topics and sentiments for Chinese opinioned sentences is researched using an approach combining syntactical analysis and linguistic rule.
- A demo system of fine-grained opinion mining is designed and implemented.
- The part of the outcomes are evaluated under a canonical mode in COAE 2008, which obtained advantageous evaluation results.





# Thank you for your attention!

