Morphology as processed by the human brain

Kristýna Tomšů



• the study of how words are formed in a language

• the study of how words are formed in a language

jazykovědeckými

• the study of how words are formed in a language

jazykovědeckými



• the study of how words are formed in a language

jazykovědeckými

jazyk –> jazykověda –> jazykovědec –> jazykovědecký –> jazykovědeckými



• the study of how words are formed in a language

jazykovědeckými

jazyk –> jazykověda –> jazykovědec –> jazykovědecký –> jazykovědeckými





Stored as whole (fully listing approach)

Stored as whole (fully listing approach)

fast

Stored as whole (fully listing approach)

 fast but not economical

Stored as whole (fully listing approach)

- fast
 but not economical
- ? novel words?

(Butterworth, 1983, Bybee, 1995; in Kazanina, 2008)

Stored as whole (fully listing approach)

- fast
 but not economical
- ? novel words?

(Butterworth, 1983, Bybee, 1995; in Kazanina, 2008)

effects of:

• constituent frequency (Ford, Davis, Marslen-Wilson 2009, Lopez-Villasenor, 2012, Raveh, 2002, in Kazanina, 2008)

Stored as whole (fully listing approach)

- fast
 but not economical
- ? novel words?

(Butterworth, 1983, Bybee, 1995; in Kazanina, 2008)

effects of:

- constituent frequency (Ford, Davis, Marslen-Wilson 2009, Lopez-Villasenor, 2012, Raveh, 2002, in Kazanina, 2008)
- morphological priming (Morris, 2007; Kazanina, 2008, Feldman, 2009; Smolka, 2007)

Stored as whole (fully listing approach)

- fast
 but not economical
- ? novel words?

(Butterworth, 1983, Bybee, 1995; in Kazanina, 2008)

Decomposed (fully parsing approach)

Stored as whole (fully listing approach)

- fast
 but not economical
- ? novel words?

(Butterworth, 1983, Bybee, 1995; in Kazanina, 2008)

Decomposed (fully parsing approach)

economical

Stored as whole (fully listing approach)

- fast
 but not economical
- ? novel words?

(Butterworth, 1983, Bybee, 1995; in Kazanina, 2008)

Decomposed (fully parsing approach)

 economical but slower

Stored as whole (fully listing approach)

- fast
 but not economical
- ? novel words?

(Butterworth, 1983, Bybee, 1995; in Kazanina, 2008)

Decomposed (fully parsing approach)

- economical but slower
- ? pseudo-complex words ?

(Stockall & Marantz, 2006; Taft, 2004; in Kazanina, 2008)

Stored as whole (fully listing approach)

- fast
 but not economical
- ? novel words?

(Butterworth, 1983, Bybee, 1995; in Kazanina, 2008)

Decomposed (fully parsing approach)

- economical but slower
- ? pseudo-complex words ?

(Stockall & Marantz, 2006; Taft, 2004; in Kazanina, 2008)

Combination (dual-route approach)

Combination (dual-route approach)

Combination (dual-route approach)

• parallel or serial

Combination (dual-route approach)

- parallel or serial
- ? border between storage and decomposition ?
 - (Baayen, Dijkstra, & Schreuder, 1997;
 Frauenfelder & Schreuder, 1992; Schreuder & Baayen, 1995, in Kazanina, 2008)

Combination (dual-route approach)

- parallel or serial
- ? border between storage and decomposition ?
 - (Baayen, Dijkstra, & Schreuder, 1997;
 Frauenfelder & Schreuder, 1992; Schreuder & Baayen, 1995, in Kazanina, 2008)

No representation of morphology (distributed connectionist approach)

- no decomposition
- interplay of meaning and form
 - (e.g., Gonnerman, Seidenberg, & Andersen, 2007)

• lexical decision

• lexical decision

depart

• lexical decision

- lexical decision
- priming

- lexical decision
- priming

departure

- lexical decision
- priming

depart

- lexical decision
- priming

- lexical decision
- priming
 - controlling for phonological, semantical and morphological relationship

- lexical decision
- priming
 - controlling for phonological, semantical and morphological relationship

- lexical decision
- priming
 - controlling for phonological, semantical and morphological relationship
- reaction times (RTs) (e.g., Crepaldi, Rastle, Coltheart, 2010; Rastle, Davis, New, 2004)

- lexical decision
- priming
 - controlling for phonological, semantical and morphological relationship
- reaction times (RTs) (e.g., Crepaldi, Rastle, Coltheart, 2010; Rastle, Davis, New, 2004)
- event related potentials (ERPs) (e.g., Leminen, Leminen, Kujala, & Shtyrov, 2013, Leminen & Clahsen, 2014)

- lexical decision
- priming
 - controlling for phonological, semantical and morphological relationship
- reaction times (RTs) (e.g., Crepaldi, Rastle, Coltheart, 2010; Rastle, Davis, New, 2004)
- event related potentials (ERPs) (e.g., Leminen, Leminen, Kujala, & Shtyrov, 2013, Leminen & Clahsen, 2014)
- functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) (e.g., Bozic & Marslen-Wilson, 2003, Meinzer, Lahiri, Flaisch, Hannemann, & Eulitz,

Influences on complex word processing

Influences on complex word processing

- transparency (e.g., Morris et al., 2007, Feldmann et al., 2009)
 - phonological / orthographical
 - semantical
 - morphological

Influences on complex word processing

- transparency (e.g., Morris et al., 2007, Feldmann et al., 2009)
 - phonological / orthographical
 - semantical
 - morphological
- frequency of constituents (e.g., Ford, Davis, Marslen-Wilson 2009, Lopez-Villasenor, 2012, Raveh, 2002, in Kazanina, 2008)

Influences on complex word processing

- transparency (e.g., Morris et al., 2007, Feldmann et al., 2009)
 - phonological / orthographical
 - semantical
 - morphological
- frequency of constituents (e.g., Ford, Davis, Marslen-Wilson 2009, Lopez-Villasenor, 2012, Raveh, 2002, in Kazanina, 2008)
- functional type of affix (e.g., Bozic & Marslen-Wilson, 2003; Leminen et al, 2013)
 - inflection x derivation
 - some studies: inflections prime as much as the lemma itself

- influences on decomposition

Inflections vs. derivations OR transparent vs. opaque?

- influences on decomposition

Inflections vs. derivations OR transparent vs. opaque?

differences found

- influences on decomposition

Inflections vs. derivations OR transparent vs. opaque?

 differences found prototypical derivations and inflections used

(Bozic & Marslen-Wilson, 2013, Feldman 2004)

- influences on decomposition

Inflections vs. derivations OR transparent vs. opaque?

- differences found prototypical derivations and inflections used (Bozic & Marslen-Wilson, 2013, Feldman 2004)
- the border between inflection/derivation across languages

- influences on decomposition

Inflections vs. derivations OR transparent vs. opaque?

- differences found prototypical derivations and inflections used (Bozic & Marslen-Wilson, 2013, Feldman 2004)
- the border between inflection/derivation across languages gender inflection

(Álvarez, Urrutia, Domínguez, & Sánchez-Casas, 2011)

- influences on decomposition

Inflections vs. derivations OR transparent vs. opaque?

- differences found prototypical derivations and inflections used (Bozic & Marslen-Wilson, 2013, Feldman 2004)
- the border between inflection/derivation across languages gender inflection

(Álvarez, Urrutia, Domínguez, & Sánchez-Casas, 2011)

clear-cut or graded effects?

- influences on decomposition

Inflections vs. derivations OR transparent vs. opaque?

- differences found prototypical derivations and inflections used (Bozic & Marslen-Wilson, 2013, Feldman 2004)
- the border between inflection/derivation across languages gender inflection

(Álvarez, Urrutia, Domínguez, & Sánchez-Casas, 2011)

clear-cut or graded effects?
 tested for derivations only

(Gonnerman et al, 2007)

- influences on decomposition

Inflections vs. derivations OR transparent vs. opaque?

- influences on decomposition

Inflections vs. derivations OR transparent vs. opaque?

conditions based on a pre-test questionnaire

- influences on decomposition

Inflections vs. derivations OR transparent vs. opaque?

conditions based on a pre-test questionnaire
 pekařem – pekař
 semantically close inflection

- influences on decomposition

Inflections vs. derivations OR transparent vs. opaque?

conditions based on a pre-test questionnaire
 pekařem – pekař
 pekařův – pekař
 semantically close derivation

- influences on decomposition

Inflections vs. derivations OR transparent vs. opaque?

conditions based on a pre-test questionnaire

pekařem – pekař

pekařův – pekař

pekařům – pekař

semantically close inflection

semantically close derivation

semantically distant inflection

- influences on decomposition

Inflections vs. derivations OR transparent vs. opaque?

conditions based on a pre-test questionnaire

pekařem – pekař

pekařův – pekař

pekařům – pekař

pekařka – pekař

semantically close inflection

semantically close derivation

semantically distant inflection

semantically distant derivation

- influences on decomposition

Inflections vs. derivations OR transparent vs. opaque?

• conditions based on a pre-test questionnaire

pekařem – pekař

pekařův – pekař

pekařům – pekař

pekařka – pekař

how reflected in RTs?

semantically close inflection

semantically close derivation

semantically distant inflection

semantically distant derivation

- influences on decomposition

Inflections vs. derivations OR transparent vs. opaque?

• conditions based on a pre-test questionnaire

pekařem – pekař

semantically close inflection

pekařův – pekař

semantically close derivation

pekařům – pekař

semantically distant inflection

pekařka – pekař

semantically distant derivation

- how reflected in RTs?
- assumption: graded effects based on transparency, not the type of affix

- influences on decomposition

Inflections vs. derivations OR transparent vs. opaque?

conditions based on a pre-test questionnaire

pekařem – pekař semantically close inflection

pekařův – pekař semantically close derivation

pekařům – pekař semantically distant inflection

pekařka – pekař semantically distant derivation

- how reflected in RTs?
- assumption: graded effects based on transparency, not the type of affix
- further study: sentence stimuli

antidisestablishmentarianism



References

- Álvarez, C. J., Urrutia, M., Domínguez, A., & Sánchez-Casas, R. (2011). Processing inflectional and derivational morphology: Electrophysiological evidence from Spanish. Neuroscience letters, 490(1), 6-10.
- Amenta, S., & Crepaldi, D. (2012). Morphological processing as we know it: an analytical review of morphological effects in visual word identification. Frontiers in psychology, 3.
- Božić, M., & Marslen-Wilson, W. D. (2013). Neurocognitive mechanisms for processing inflectional and derivational complexity in English. Psihologija, 46(4), 439-454.
- Crepaldi, D., Rastle, K., Coltheart, M., & Nickels, L. (2010). 'Fell'primes 'fall', but does 'bell'prime 'ball'? Masked priming with irregularly-inflected primes. Journal of memory and language, 63(1), 83-99.
- Andoni Dunabeitia, J., Perea, M., & Carreiras, M. (2008). Does darkness lead to happiness? Masked suffix priming effects. Language and Cognitive Processes, 23(7-8), 1002-1020.
- Feldman, L. B., O'Connor, P. A., & Moscoso del Prado Martín, F. (2009). Early morphological processing is morphosemantic and not simply morpho-orthographic: A violation of form-then-meaning accounts of word recognition. Psychonomic Bulletin & Review, 16, 684–691.
- Ford, M. A., Davis, M. H., & Marslen-Wilson, W. D. (2010). Derivational morphology and base morpheme frequency. Journal of Memory and Language, 63(1), 117-130.
- Giraudo, H. (2003). On the role of derivational affixes in recognizing complex words: Evidence from masked priming Héléne Giraudo and Jonathan Grainger. Morphological structure in language processing, 151, 209.
- Gonnerman, L. M., Seidenberg, M. S., & Andersen, E. S. (2007). Graded semantic and phonological similarity effects in priming: Evidence for a distributed connectionist approach to morphology. Journal of experimental psychology: General, 136(2), 323.
- Kazanina, N., Dukova-Zheleva, G., Geber, D., Kharlamov, V., & Tonciulescu, K. (2008). Decomposition into multiple morphemes during lexical access: A masked priming study of Russian nouns. Language and Cognitive Processes, 23(6), 800-823.
- Leminen, A., & Clahsen, H. (2014). Brain potentials to inflected adjectives: Beyond storage and decomposition. Brain research, 1543, 223-234.
- Leminen, A., Leminen, M., Kujala, T., & Shtyrov, Y. (2013). Neural dynamics of inflectional and derivational morphology processing in the human brain. Cortex, 49(10), 2758-2771.
- López-Villaseñor, M. L. (2012). The effects of base frequency and affix productivity in Spanish. The Spanish journal of psychology, 15(02), 505-512.
- Lück, M., Hahne, A., & Clahsen, H. (2006). Brain potentials to morphologically complex words during listening. Brain research, 1077(1), 144-152.
- Meinzer, M., Lahiri, A., Flaisch, T., Hannemann, R., & Eulitz, C. (2009). Opaque for the reader but transparent for the brain: neural signatures of morphological complexity. Neuropsychologia, 47(8), 1964-1971.
- Morris, J., Porter, J. H., Grainger, J., & Holcomb, P. J. (2011). Effects of lexical status and morphological complexity in masked priming: an ERP study. Language and Cognitive Processes, 26(4-6), 558-599.
- Penke, M., Janssen, U., & Eisenbeiss, S. (2004). Psycholinguistic evidence for the underspecification of morphosyntactic features. Brain and language, 90(1), 423-433.
- Rastle, K., Davis, M. H., & New, B. (2004). The broth in my brother's brothel: Morpho-orthographic segmentation in visual word recognition. Psychonomic Bulletin & Review, 11(6), 1090-1098.
- Smolík, F. (2010). Inflectional Suffix Priming in Czech Verbs and Nouns. In S. Ohlsson & R. Catrambone (Eds.), Proceedings of the 32nd Annual Conference of the Cognitive Science Society (pp. 1667-1672). Austin, TX: Cognitive Science Society
- Smolka, E., Zwitserlood, P., & Rösler, F. (2007). Stem access in regular and irregular inflection: Evidence from German participles. Journal of Memory and Language, 57(3), 325-347.
- Szlachta, Z., Bozic, M., Jelowicka, A., & Marslen-Wilson, W. D. (2012). Neurocognitive dimensions of lexical complexity in Polish. Brain and language, 121(3), 219-225.

- representations of affixes / grammatical categories

Affix priming

- derivational suffixes (e.g., Dunabeitia, Perea, & Carreiras, 2008)
- inflectional suffixes??

```
Smolík, 2010
vrba – žena
pána – žena
duše – žena
```

Set of grammatical features

- feature representation of grammatical categories (Leminen, & Clahsen, 2014)
- conditions with varying degree/kind of violation
- violations of syntactic vs. morphological structure

Dali ženám květiny.

Dali ženům květiny.

Dali ženách květiny.

- words with several morphemes

Effects of complexity

- calculation of meaning should be slower than for monomorphemic controls
- processing load as a function of complexity? (Meinzer et al., 2009)
- processing of highly complex words vs. less complex ones hračkářský – studentský

Problems in morphology research

- words studied mostly in isolation
 - but: Lueck, Hahne, & Clahsen, 2006; Penke, 2004
- generalising over experiments
 - interactions? (Amenta, 2012)
- varying definitions of influencing factors
- most studies on English/German
 - but: Polish (Szlachta, Bozic, Jelowicka, & Marslen-Wilson, 2012), Finnish (Leminen et al., 2013)